



Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

Articles for the AYER

5 pesan

Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>
Kepada: editor@ayerjournal.com

21 Januari 2020 08.20

Dear: Editor of AYER

I am a lecturer at University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

I have completed a scientific article entitled "**Analysis of the Housing Benefit Policy for the Chairman and Members of the District Council Sumedang Regency**".

I hope this article can be published in the AYER, because there are interesting findings in the scientific article that can become new treasures in science.

I am willing to go through the review process following AYER standards.

Best Regards,

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia**Articles for AYER.doc**

485K

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro <editor@ayerjournal.com>
Kepada: Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

18 Maret 2020 10.10

Dear
Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

The AYER Editorial Board has received your article. We appreciate your interest in AYER.

Your article will be processed according to the publication standards at AYER. I beg you to be patient waiting for the notification from the AYER Editorial Board.

Always check your email, because the correspondence is only via email.

Best Regards,

--
María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro
Editorial Board AYER
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>
Kepada: "María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro" <editor@ayerjournal.com>

20 Maret 2020 11.21

Dear: Editor of AYER

Thank you for your response.

I am happy to wait for the next stage.

Best Regards,

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro <editor@ayerjournal.com>
Kepada: Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

11 Mei 2020 10.21

Dear
Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

The AYER Editorial Board has reviewed your article, the research topic is very interesting, but we decided that your article could not be accepted immediately. You must improve your article on several points.

I am sure you are willing to make this improvement because your scientific articles must meet AYER standards.

Please correct your article according to the instructions from the AYER Reviewers.

Reviewer 1:

Abstract: The abstract succinctly summarizes the main points of the study, including the policy analysis and its impact. It provides a clear overview of what to expect in the article.

Introduction: The introduction effectively introduces the topic of the housing benefit policy for the Chairman and Members of the District Council in Sumedang Regency. However, it would be beneficial to include more background information about the socio-economic context and the need for such a policy. This would provide a stronger rationale for the study.

Literature Review: The literature review provides a good overview of housing benefit policies in other regions, which helps to contextualize the study. However, it would be even more informative if it could include recent developments and trends in housing benefit policies.

Method: The methodology section outlines the research design and data sources clearly. However, it lacks details on the data collection process and any potential limitations. Providing more information on these aspects would enhance the methodological transparency of the study.

Results and Discussion: The results and discussion section provides a thorough analysis of the housing benefit policy and its impact. The presentation of data in tables and graphs is helpful. However, the discussion could be more critical and delve deeper into the policy implications and any unexpected findings.

Conclusion: The conclusion summarizes the key findings and their policy implications effectively. It would be beneficial to reiterate the importance of the study's findings and suggest avenues for further research.

References: The list of references appears comprehensive and relevant. However, it is essential to ensure consistency in citation style throughout the article.

Reviewer 2:

Abstract: The abstract provides a concise summary of the study, highlighting the housing benefit policy analysis in Sumedang Regency. It effectively communicates the study's purpose, methodology, and key findings.

Introduction: The introduction introduces the topic well and outlines the importance of studying housing benefit policies. However, it could benefit from more background information on the socio-economic conditions in Sumedang Regency and the specific issues that led to the policy's adoption.

Literature review: The literature review provides a solid foundation for the study by reviewing relevant housing benefit policies in other regions. However, it could be strengthened by incorporating recent developments and debates in the field of housing policy.

Method: The methodology section is clear in describing the research design and data sources. However, it lacks details on the data collection process and potential limitations. Providing more information in these areas would enhance the study's methodological rigor.

Results and Discussion: The results and discussion section presents the findings coherently and uses tables and graphs effectively to illustrate the data. The discussion provides valuable insights into the policy's impact. However, a deeper analysis of the implications and potential areas for improvement could enhance this section.

Conclusion: The conclusion succinctly summarizes the study's main findings and their policy implications. It could be improved by emphasizing the broader significance of the research and suggesting future research directions.

References: The list of references is comprehensive and appropriately cited. Ensure consistency in citation style throughout the article.

I hope you can make improvements as soon as possible, and send the revised article again via this email.

Best Regards,

--

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro

Editorial Board AYER

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

13 Mei 2020 10.13

Kepada: "María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro" <editor@ayerjournal.com>

Dear: Editor of AYER

Thank you for your response.

I will immediately correct the article according to reviewers.

Best Regards,

Yaya Mulyana

University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

Revision Article for AYER

4 pesan

Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

22 Juni 2020 11.45

Kepada: "María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro" <editor@ayerjournal.com>

Dear
Editor of AYER


Thank you for the patience of the AYER Editorial Board, waiting for the revision of my article.

I have made improvements according to the reviewer's instructions.

Revised article attached.

Best Regards,

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

 **Revision1_AYER.doc**
479K

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro <editor@ayerjournal.com>

22 Juli 2020 10.49

Kepada: Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

Dear

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

Based on Reviewers' considerations, the article has, at some point, increased. However, you have to improve on a few more points. Hopefully, you are willing to do it.

Please follow the following revision instructions:

Reviewer 1:

Abstract: The abstract has been improved to provide a more detailed overview of the study, including the methodology and key findings. It now effectively communicates the study's purpose and scope.

Introduction: The introduction has been enhanced with additional background information about the socio-economic context in Sumedang Regency and the specific challenges that led to the housing benefit policy. This strengthens the rationale for the study.

Literature Review: While the literature review remains informative, it would benefit from further discussion of recent developments and trends in housing benefit policies. Incorporating more up-to-date sources could enhance its relevance.

Method: The methodology section has been improved with additional details on the data collection process and potential limitations. This enhances the study's methodological transparency and rigor.

Result and Discussion: The results and discussion section now provides a more critical analysis of the housing benefit policy's impact, including its strengths and weaknesses. The policy implications are discussed in greater depth, adding value to this section.

Conclusion: The conclusion has been enhanced to emphasize the broader significance of the study's findings and their potential implications for policy reform. It effectively suggests avenues for future research.

References: The list of references remains comprehensive and relevant. Ensuring consistency in citation style throughout the article is crucial for the final publication.

Reviewer 2:

Abstract: The abstract has been improved to include more detailed information about the study's methodology and findings. It now effectively summarizes the study's purpose and key components.

Introduction: The introduction has been strengthened with additional background information on Sumedang Regency's socio-economic conditions and the policy's context. This provides a more robust foundation for the study.

Literature Review: While the literature review remains informative, it would benefit from an update with more recent developments and debates in the field of housing benefit policies. This would ensure the review's relevance.

Method: The methodology section has been enhanced with additional details on data collection and potential limitations. This enhances the study's methodological transparency and rigor.

Results and Discussion: The results and discussion section now offers a more in-depth analysis of the housing benefit policy's impact and its implications. The critical examination of the policy's strengths and weaknesses adds depth to this section.

Conclusion: The conclusion has been improved to emphasize the broader significance of the study's findings and their potential policy implications. The inclusion of future research directions is valuable.

References: The list of references remains comprehensive and appropriately cited. Consistency in citation style should be maintained throughout the article for the final publication.

Articles that have been revised and please send it back via this email.

Best Regards,

--

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro
Editorial Board AYER

Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

24 Juli 2020 11.50

Kepada: "María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro" <editor@ayerjournal.com>

Dear
Editor of AYER

Thank you for your response, I will be happy to revise the article according to these instructions.

Best Regards,

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

02 Agustus 2020 10.11

Kepada: "María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro" <editor@ayerjournal.com>

Dear
Editor of AYER

Thank you for the patience of the AYER Editorial Board in waiting for the submission of my second article revision.

I have made improvements according to the instructions from the reviewers. I am ready to wait for the next process.

Revised Article Attached.

Best Regards,

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia



Revision2_AYER.doc

493K



Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

Acceptance Letter

1 pesan

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro <editor@ayerjournal.com>

13 September 2020 10.50

Kepada: Yaya Mulyana <mulyana.yaya1964@gmail.com>

Dear

Yaya Mulyana
University of Pasundan Bandung, Indonesia

Warm Greetings!

It's a great pleasure to inform you that, after the peer review process, your article entitled: "**Analysis of the Housing Benefit Policy for the Chairman and Members of the District Council Sumedang Regency**" has been accepted for publication in AYER Volume 27 Number 2 of 2020, please make a payment for publication fee.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal. We hope to receive it in the future too.

Best Regards,

--

María Candelaria Fuentes Navarro
Editorial Board AYER



LoA_AYER_Yana Mulyana.pdf

413K