Model Komputasi ParalelAlgoritma Seleksi Clonal Dengan Massage Passing Java Model - MPJExspess

by Dr.ayi Purbasari. St.,mt. Turnitin Paper -publikasi 7

Submission date: 19-Oct-2021 11:41AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1677814776


File name: 8COMPU 1.PDF (3.16M)

Word count: 4209

Character count: 22856

International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research

Volume 10 Number 1 January-June 2014



Editor-in-chief: Keshv Dahal, Ph.D

International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research

ISSN 0973-1873

Editor-in-Chief: Keshav Dahal, Ph.D.

MOSAIC Research Centre, School of Informatics, University of Bradford, U.K. E-mail: k.p.dahal@bradford.ac.uk

Editorial Board Members

Hisao Ishibuchi, Japan R. Poli, UK S.K. Halgamuge, Australia R. Langari, USA R. Weber, Chile, Chile

A. Satyadas, USA

M. Köppen, Germany Nedjah, Brazil

M.J. Geiger, Germany N.Ravi Shankar, India

Mohammed Ali Hussain, India

A. Atiya, Egypt A. Aggarwal, Canada

Abdul Hannan India

C. Bessiere, France J. Burns, Ireland

G. Ciobanu, Romania

C.A. Coello Coello, Mexico

D. Corne, UK

K. Dahal, UK

B. De Baets, Belgium

Y. Dote, Japan

T. Fukuda, Japan

M. Gams, Slovenia

A. Gelbukh, Mexico B. Grabot, France

J. L. Grantner, USA S. Hawamdeh, USA

F. Herrera, Spain

L. C. Jain, Australia

J. Kacprzyk, Poland

A. Kelemen, University of Niagra, USA

I. King, Hong Kong

S. G. Kong, USA

D. H. Kraft, USA

V. Kreinovich, USA

R. Kruse, Germany

C. T. Lin, Taiwan

V. Loia, Italy

R. E. Mercer, Canada

G. C. Nandi, India

V. Palade, UK

W. Pedrycz, Canada

I. Perfilieva, Ostrava

C. Rego, USA

G. Rudolph, Germany

Q. Shen, UK

P.V.S. Srinivas, India.

T. Sudkamp, USA

R. Sun, USA

G. Tecuci, USA

A. Vasilakos, Greece

F. Xhafa, Spain

R. R. Yager, USA

Z. Yi, China

X. J. Zeng, UK

W. Zhang, China

Anand Kumar, India

El-Sayed M. El-Alfy, Saudi Arabia

Published by

RIP Research India Publications

Head Office: B-2/84, Ground Floor, Rohini Sector-16, Delhi-110089, INDIA Tel No.: +91-11-65394240 Fax No.: +91-11-27297815

Website: www.ripublication.com E-mail: info@ripublication.com

International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research

http://www.ripublication.com/ijcir.htm

Aim and Scope

IJCIR is a peer reviewed international journal with a key objective to provide the academic and industrial community a medium for presenting original cutting edge research related to computational intelligence and its applications. IJCIR invites authors to submit their original and unpublished work that communicates current research on computational intelligence both the theoretical and methodological aspects, as well as various applications in real world problems from science, technology, business or commerce.

Frequency of Publication

Publish one volume with four issues per year.

Submission of Manuscripts

Submitted papers must be in English and should not have been published elsewhere or being currently under consideration by any other journal. The final decision on publication is made by the Editor-in-Chief upon recommendation of an Associate Editor and / or an Editorial Board Members.

International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research is online at http://www.ripublication.com/ijcir.htm. Visit the journal's home pages for details of aims and scope, readership, instruction to authors and publishing procedure and table of contents. Use website to order a subscription, reprints, a sample copy or individual articles.

Subscription Information

Subscription orders may be directed to the publisher or contact your preferred subscription agent.

Regular subscription price

US\$380/Libraries and US\$360/Individual (Outside India) Rs.3000/Libraries and Rs.1800/Individual (Inside India)

Publisher

All business correspondence, enquires and subscription orders should be addressed to

Editorial Office
Research India Publications
B-2/84, Ground Floor,
Rohini Sector-16,
Delhi-110089, INDIA
Fax No.: +91-011-27297815

Email: ripublication@vsnl.net Website: www.ripublication.com

© 2014 Research India Publications, India

Printed in India

All material published in this journal is protected by copyright, which covers exclusive rights to reproduce and distribute the material. No material published in this journal may be reproduced or stored on microfilm or in electronic, optical or magnetic form without the written authorization of the publisher.

International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research


Volume 10, Number 1 (2014)

Contents

CFS with Combined Search Methods for Dimensionality Reduction in Classifying Aging and Not-Aging related DNA Repair Genes Vidya A ^{1, 2} ., Manohar V ¹ ., Shwetha V. P ¹ ., Venugopal K. R. and Patnaik L.M.	1-15
Enriched Intelligent Water Drops (EIWD) Algorithm with Genetic Operator to Solve Weighted Multi-Objective Optimization Problems Kavitha ^{a, *} and Vijaya ^b	17-26
Line Following 2 Degree Robotic Arm with Object Detection Shubhi Tyagi, P. Kaushik, Sumit Tyagi, Vishal Dahiya	27-33
Natural Language Query Processing using Semantic Approach S.J.Karale and Dr. Manali Kshirsagar	35-39
Impact of CPU Scheduling on Management of I/O in Xen Virtualization Technology Mr. Rajendra Bele and Dr. Chitra Desai	41-46
Enriched Intelligent Water Drops (EIWD) Algorithm with Genetic Operator to Solve Weighted Multi-Objective Optimization Problems Kavitha ^{a,*} and Vijaya ^b	47-57
Computer Experiments with a Clonal Selection Algorithm for the Travelling Salesperson Problem Ayi Purbasari ¹ , Iping Supriana Suwardi ² , Oerip Santoso ³ , and Rila Mandala ⁴	59-71
Artificial Neural Network Based Models for Rice Yield Forecasting	73-90

 $See \ discussions, stats, and \ author \ profiles \ for \ this \ publication \ at: \ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265441217$

Computer Experiments with a Clonal Selection Algorithm for the Travelling Salesperson Problem



All content following this page was uploaded by Iping Supriana on 09 September 2014.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Computer Experiments with a Clonal Selection Algorithm for the Travelling Salesperson Problem

Ayi Purbasari¹, Iping Supriana Suwardi², Oerip Santoso³, and Rila Mandala⁴

¹Informatics Department, Unpas Bandung
^{2,3,4}School of Electrical Engineering and Informatics,
Bandung Institute of Technology

¹pbasari@unpas.ac.id, ²iping@informatika.org,

⁴rila@informatika.org

Abstract

Clonal Selection Algorithm (CSA) is a popular algorithm from Artificial Immune System that using population based and selection that is inspired from Clonal Selection Theory. This research focused to observe the parameters to conclude the correlation between them. The research began with the implementation the CSA using C# language for combinatorial optimization problem, e.g. Travelling Salesperson Problem (TSP). The experiment is using dataset from TSPLIB for 2 kinds of dataset, e.g. Berlin52.tsp and tsp225.tsp. We concluded that number of node for the problem (non), population size parameter (N) and selection size parameter (n) are affecting the runtime result linearly and also affecting the best tour weight.

Keywords— Clonal Selection Algorithm, Artificial Immune System, Travelling Salesperson Problem.

Introduction

Bio-inspired are known as techniques to improve computational techniques by mimicking natural system and achieving similar desirable properties of the natural system. Famous bio-inspired are neural networks[10], genetic algorithms [6], ant colony optimization[5], and bee colony optimization[8]. Artificial immune systems (AIS) is another promising biological inspired computation based on metaphor and abstraction from theoretical of the vertebrateimmune system[12]. The field of AIS encompasses a spectrum of algorithms that exist inspired by the behavior and properties of immunological cells, specifically B-cells, T-cells and dendritic cells (DCs). AIS became a class of computationally intelligent systems, bridging between immunology and engineering.

The original research in AIS focus on applying immunological principles to computational problems in practical domains in a wide variety of domains, including machine learning, computer security, data mining, and optimization [11][1]. There are three classes of AIS algorithms derived from more simplified models: clonal selection, negative selection, and immune networks. Clonal Selection Algorithms (CSA) is the popular algorithms from AIS that using population based and selection that is inspired from Clonal Selection Theory[4]. This CSA are using for pattern recognition and optimization problem. Ulutas [14]stated that 23% of this algorithms are using for optimization problems.

However, this algorithm consists of many user-defined parameters that should be well understood. There are population size that randomly created selection size and cloning population size. This research focused to observe the parameters to conclude the correlation between them. The research began with the implementation the CSA using C# language for combinatorial optimization problem, e.g. Travelling Salesperson Problem (TSP). This experiment uses dataset from TSPLIB[13] for 2 kinds of dataset, e.g.Berlin52.tsp and tsp225.tsp. At the end of research, we concluded the correlation between population size parameter and selection size parameter to run time and convergent.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II reviewsthe clonalselection principle and algorithm. Section III contains implementation of clonal selection algorithms and defines user-defined parameters. Section IV briefly discusses the sensitivity analysis of the algorithm in relation to the user-defined parameters. Section V concludes the paper.




Figure 1 Simple overview of the clonal selection process, image taken from [3]

Clonal Selection Algorithm

Immune System Inspiration

Theimmunesystemconsistsofmorethan 15% of genes in thehumangenome that is

whyimmunefunctionplaysa vitalrolein humanhealth[9]. Theimmunesystemprotects humanhealthagainstextemalinvaders, suchas viruses, bacteria, and other pathogens, whileignoringself. The primary task of the immune system can be summarized as distinguishing between itself and non-self[7]. Most immune responses result from interactions amongvarious typesofcells, continually signalingtoone anothervia theirmechanisms. Mostimmunehas additional regulatorymechanisms resultsfromdifferentstates(resting/active, immature/mature, naive/effector/memory)of theircells. Therearetwokindof immuneresponses, innate immune response andacquired immune responses [7].

Artificial Immune System

Over the last few years, there has been an ever-increasing interest in the area of artificial immune systems (AIS) and their applications [11]. AIS uses ideas gleaned from immunology in order to develop adaptive systems capable of performing a wide range of tasks in various areas of research.AISalgorithms are inspired from acquired immuner esponse [11].

Clonal Selection Theory

The clonal selection theory is a theory used to describe the functioning of acquired immunity, specifically the diversity of antibodies used to defend the organism from invasion[4] [3]. This theory postulated by Burnet (1957), stated that antibodies production is the selection process triggered by antigens as their enemies. The theory specifies that the organism have a pre-existing pool of heterogeneous antibodies that can recognize all antigens with some level of specificity[4] [3]. An antibody then chemically binds to the antigen if its receptors matched to an antigen. This chemically binding causes the cell to clone, replicate and produce more cells with the same receptor. During the cloning stage, cells genetic mutations occur and promote the match or affinity with the antigen. This allows the binding ability of the cells to improve with time and exposure to the antigen.

This is the simple overview of the clonal selection process:

There are B cells bind to specific antigens. Once bound, the B cells proliferates and produces many clone B cells. They differentiate into either plasma cells that produce antibodies or long lived memory cells. Antibody used to effector of the immune response and memory cells used if the antigen reappears. Beside B cells, there area another cells in acquired immunity, T cells. T cells consist of T Helper cells and T Killer cells. Their roles are to stimulate B cells and to neutralize the infected cells. These T cells are not to discussin clonal selection theory in detail.

Clonal Selection Algorithm Principle Principle

The clonal selection theory is inspiring deCastro and Von Zuben to create clonal selection algorithms [4] name ClonalG. This figure below show the workflow of the Clonal Selection Algorithm technique:

Table 1 Clonal Selection Algorithms

Stage	Name	Description			
1	Initialization	itiallyindividual population(N) randomly.			
2	Evaluation	a) Select Antigen – A single antigen is selected at random			
		without replacement (for the current generation) from the			
		pool of antigens.			
		b) Exposure - The system is exposed to the selected			
		antigen. Affinity values are calculated for all antibodies			
		against the antigen. Affinity is a measure of similarity, and			
		is problem dependent. It is common to use Hamming			
		distance.			
3	Selection and	c) Selection – A set of n antibodies are selected from the			
	Clone	entire antibody pool that have the highest affinity with the			
		antigen.			
		d) Cloning – The set of selected antibodies are then cloned			
		in proportion to their affinity (rank based).			
4	Hypermutation	The clones (set of duplicate antigens) are then subjected to			
		an affinity maturation process to better match the antigen in			
		question. Here, the degree of maturation is inversely			
		proportional to their parent's affinity (rank based), meaning			
		that the greater the affinity, the lower the mutation.			
5	Editing	The d individuals in the remaining r antigen pool with the			
	Receptor	lowest affinity are replaced with new random antibodies.			
6		Loop, Stage 1-5 until stop condition e.g. number of			
		generation or convergent or optimal.			

Selected antigens are antigens with highest affinity values that are calculated for all antibodies against the antigen. Affinity is a measure of similarity, and is problem dependent. It is common to use Hamming distance between two bit strings of equal length. Hamming distance is a simple measure that counts the number of point difference between two strings and is calculated as follows:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \delta \quad \begin{cases} \delta = 1, ab_i \neq ag_i \\ \delta = 0, ab_i = ag_i \end{cases}$$
Where
$$D = \text{the Hamming distance,}$$

$$ab = \text{the antibody,}$$

$$ag = \text{the antigen and}$$

$$L = \text{the length of the bit string.}$$

Equation 1 - Hamming distance calculation




Figure 2 Overview of the Clonal Selection Algorithm [3]

An important aspect of this task is about the number of clones created from each of the n selected antibodies. This process is proportional to their affinity using a rank based measure. The set of selected antibodies is sorted in ascending order by their affinity to the antigen. The ordered list is then iterated, and the total number of clones prepared is:

$$N_c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} round(\beta. N)$$
 Where:
 $N_c = \text{the total number of clones},$
 $n = \text{the number of selected antibodies},$
 $\beta = \text{the clone factor}$

Equation 2 the total number of clones created for all antibodies per antigen exposure [4].

Parameters

As describes at Table 1 above, ClonalG has seven user defined parameters which include; antibody population size, memory pool size, selection pool size, remainder replacement size, clonal factor and number of generations as we can see in the following table. This algorithm needs random number generator seed.

Table 2 User defined parameter in Clonal Selection Algorithm

_				
Parameter	Symbol	Value	Description	
Antibody	N	Random	Specifies the total amount of resources, which is	
population size			the total number of antibodies to be maintained by	
			the system.	
both the memory	m	$m \leq N$	Portion of N allocated to the memory pool	
antibody pool				
the remainder	r	r	The remainder antibody pool	
antibody pool		= N - m		
Selection pool	n	n	The total number of antibodies with greatest	
size		$\in [1, n]$	affinity to draw from the antibody population for	
			cloning on the presentation of each antigen.	
Remainder	d	d	Specifies the total number of lowest affinity	
replacement size		$\in [0, r]$	antibodies to replace with random antibodies or	
_			each antigen exposure.	
Clonal factor	β	β	Specifies a scaling factor for the number of clones	
		∈ [0, r]	created for selected antibodies.	
Number of	G		Specifies the total number of algorithm iterations	
generations			to perform	

Immune Engineering

Immune engineering is the process mapping between the immune system and the problem[12]. In this research, we used clonal selection algorithm to solve an optimization problem. Mapping between the immune system and an optimization problem is done as follows:

Table 3Immune system and an optimization problem.[2]

Immune system	Optimization problem		
Pathogen	Problem(environmentofantigens)(e.g., city		
	graphwhereinnodes representantigens)		
Immuneresponse	Solution(e.g., shortestpath)		
B-cells	Agents		
Clonalselection	Creatingnewagentsinordertoexploretheenvironment(i.e., proliferation)		
Positive/negativeselection	Selectionofuseless/badagentstokill themselves(i.e.,		
	apoptosis)		

Implementation and Experiment Design Implementation

This section describes the implementation of Clonal Selection Algorithm. We used C# programming to develop CSA program, and Travelling Salesperson Problem as a case study. The program is developed using Toshiba Portege Z935, Processor Intel®

Core[™] i5-3317* CPU@1.70GHz. Using memory 4GB, 64-bit Operating System and Windows 7, Microsoft Visual Studio 2010 (10.0.30319.1 RTMRel), Microsoft.NET Framework version 4.0.30319 RTMRel, Visual C# Express 2010 01014-169-2560017-70895.

The Travelling Salesperson Problem (TSP) datasets are taken from TSPLIB [13]. This research is using two kinds of datasets, Berlin52.tsp with 52 nodes and Tsp225.tsp with 225 nodes.

Figures below show dataset of Berlin52.tsp and dataset of tsp225.tsp:




Figure 3 Dataset of Berlin52.tsp and dataset of tsp225.tsp

Experimenal Design

There are two parameters investigated were N (number of population) and n (number of best affinity selected). These parameters were investigated to see their affected to run time and convergent. The non, the number of node, consist of 52 and 225. The nog, the number of generation is set to 1000. This experimental environment is the same with the implementation environment.

The figure 3 besides is the computation model for experimental design:




Figure 4 Experimental Design

Result and Discussion

This section provides the result of experiment:

Result: Best Cost and Convergen Best cost

In the following graph, we can see that the weight of the best tour is obtained with n=25 and N=100. The best weight is 10673.27 ingeneration 532. Best tour obtained by: 11, 50, 32, 42, 35, 39, 37, 4, 9, 8, 7, 40, 16, 20, 30, 0, 31, 18, 44, 48, 21, 19, 49, 28, 29, 1, 6, 41, 22, 15, 34, 33, 36, 14, 3, 45, 43, 17, 2, 38, 47, 5, 23, 24, 27, 25, 26, 12, 46, 13, 51, 10.




Figure 5 Best Tour for Berlin52.TSP

Varying Nand Varying n for Berlin52.TSP

In the following graph shows the varying N for Berlin52.TSP. As we can see, the best tour is obtained for N = 100 and n = 10. Best weight is 12312.47and it is obtained in generation 401.




Figure 6 Varying N for Berlin52.TSP

The following graph is showing the best tour for Berlin52.TSP in varying n. The best tour is obtained for N=100 and n=25. Best weight is 10673.27 ingeneration 532.




Figure 7 Varying n for Berlin52.TSP

Varying N and Varying n (TSP225)

In the following graph shows the varying N for TSP225.TSP. As we can see, the best tour is obtained for N = 250 and n = 10. Best weight is 28987.83and it is obtained in generation554.




Figure 8 Varying N for TSP225.TSP

This is the graph showing the best tour for Berlin52.TSP in varying n. The best tour is obtained for N = 100 and n = 20. Best weight is 28730ingeneration 987.




Figure 9 Varying n for TSP225.TSP

Result: Runtime

In this section, we will see the runtime result for both varying N and n for dataset Berlin52 and TSP225.

Varying N (Berlin 52 and TSP225)

The following graph is shown the runtime result for varying N Berlin52.TSP and TSP225.TSP $\,$




Figure 10 Runtime Result Varying N for Berlin52.TSP and TSP225.TSP

As we can see, the best execution is obtained by N = 50 for Berlin52.TP and by N = 50 for TSP225.tsp.

Varying n (Berlin 52 and TSP225)

The following graph is shown the runtime result for varying n Berlin52.TSP and TSP225.TSP




Figure 11 Runtime Result Varying n for Berlin52.TSP and TSP225.TSP

As we can see, the best execution is obtained by n = 5 for Berlin52.TP and by n = 5 for TSP225.tsp.

Regression Anaysist

In this following section, we will see the summary of the best cost and runtime result for all dataset andthe variant. Here the table that summarize all experiments:

No	#Node	N	n	Generation	Best Cost	Runtime
1	52	50	10	307	14526.97	01.635
2	52	100	10	401	12312.47	03.168
3	52	100	5	120	16138.76	01.920
4	52	100	10	173	14348.51	03.152
5	52	100	15	503	12113.04	04.449
6	52	100	20	440	11440.16	06.369
7	52	100	25	532	10673.27	06.890
8	52	150	10	101	13775.85	05.051
9	52	200	10	134	14767.7	06.007
10	52	250	10	129	13307.51	07.314
11	255	50	10	953	30748.77	08.520
12	255	100	10	940	30201.6	15.949
13	255	100	5	644	29501.97	09.199

Table 4the Experiment Summarize

14	255	100	10	925	30651.66	16.547
15	255	100	15	917	30182.59	22.980
16	255	100	20	987	28730	30.438
17	255	100	25	645	28902.08	40.309
18	255	150	10	840	29880.97	24.532
19	255	200	10	967	29472.77	32.349
20	255	250	10	554	28987.83	38.408

Using multiple regressing, we can see the relationship between number of node (non), parameters N and n, and the runtime result (r) and weight (w) for the best tour.

Here the estimating model for runtime results:

r = .000.010 * non + .000.001*N + .000.001 * n + (.000.264)

Here the estimating model for best tour weight results:

W = (166.065.083) * non + (4.588.662)*N + 80.717.241 * n + 11792.523.698

Discussion

Sensitivity of n-n does affect the best tour obtained and increases in n, increased the computational complexity of the algorithm, thus slowing its execution.

Sensitivity of N-N does affect the best tour obtained and increases in n, increased the computational complexity of the algorithm, thus slowing its execution.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Dr. IpingSuwardi, Dr. dr. Oerip Santoso, Dr. Rila Mandala from School of Electrical and Informatics(STEI) InstitutTeknologi Bandung (ITB) forhelping the research. I would like to thank Department of Informatics and Technical Faculty of Universitas Pasundan (Unpas) Bandung for all support.

References

- [1] Alsharhan S, J.R. Al-Enezi. Abbod MF, "Artificial Immune Systems Models, Algorithms and Applications," *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Science (IJRRAS)*, pp. 118-131, May 2010.
- [2] Gaber J Bakhouya M, "An Immune Inspired-based Optimization Algorithm: Application to the Traveling Salesman Problem, " *AMO Advanced Modeling and Optimization*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 105-116., 2007.
- [3] Jason Brownlee, "Clonal Selection Algorithms," Complex Intelligent Systems Laboratory, Centre for Information Technology Research, Faculty of Information Communication Technology, Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia, Technical 2009.

- [4] Leandro N. de Castro and Fernando J. Von Zuben, "Learning and Optimization Using the Clonal Selection Principle," *IEEE Transactions On Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 239-251, June 2002.
- [5] Marco Dorigo, "Ant colony optimization: a new meta-heuristic," in *Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, vol. 2, Washington, DC, 1999.
- [6] David E. Goldberg and John H. Holland, "Genetic Algorithms and Machine Learning," *Machine Learning 3*, pp. 95-99, 1988.
- [7] National Institute Of Health, *Understanding the Immune System How It Works*.: NIH Publication, 2003.
- [8] D Karabogak and Bastur, B, "On the performance of artificial bee colony (ABC) algorithm, " *Elsevier: Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 687–697, January 2008.
- [9] Peter S., Levy, Doron, and Lee, Peter. Peter, Kim, "Modeling and Simulation of the Immune System as a Self-Regulating Network, " *Methods in Enzymology*, pp. 79-109, 2009.
- [10] R.P Lippmann, "An introduction to computing with neural nets, " ASSP Magazine, IEEE, pp. 4 22, April 1987.
- [11] Jonathan Timmis, "Artificial Immune Systems Today and Tomorrow, " *Natural Computing*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1-18, 2006.
- [12] Jonathan Timmis and Leandro Nunes Castro, *Approach, Artificial Immune Systems: A New Computational*. London: Springer Verlag, 2002.
- [13] TSPLIB. [Online]. http://www.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de/groups/comopt/software/TSPLIB95/tsp/
- [14] Kulturel-Konak S Ulutas BH, "A Review of Clonal Selection Algorithm and Its Applications," *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 2011.

72	Ani Bunhangari et al
72	Ayi Purbasari et al

International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research

VOLUME 10 NUMBER 1 JANUARY-JUNE 2014

www.ripublication.com

ISSN 0973-1873

Model Komputasi ParalelAlgoritma Seleksi Clonal Dengan Massage Passing Java Model - MPJExspess

ORIGINALITY REPORT

20% SIMILARITY INDEX

13%
INTERNET SOURCES

14%

%

PUBLICATIONS STUDENT PAPERS

MATCH ALL SOURCES (ONLY SELECTED SOURCE PRINTED)

7%

★ Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA

Student Paper

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

Off

Exclude bibliography