

# envisage the world post global governance system

*by* Dr Anton Minardi Ma

---

**Submission date:** 22-Jul-2019 12:19PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1153946210

**File name:** Envisage\_The\_World\_Post\_Global\_Governance\_System.docx (41.15K)

**Word count:** 5557

**Character count:** 29893

## **Envisage The World Post Global Governance System**

**By Anton Minardi<sup>1</sup>**

**154082**

### **Abstract**

Global Governance system was created more interdependence between world actors because productions and trade became more quickly and easily with communication web. Global governance system set up the relations for all world actors in interdependence form and it was became complex with the appearance of non states actors as partners or challenges of states actors. In the fact interdependence system affected by great power or less power actors. The great power actors have more independent than the other, but less power actors generally more dependent to other actors. The situation caused the symmetrical and asymmetrical interdependence among actors. The future world after global system will change if rise a new great power or greates power can provide the necessities to serve the world inhabitant. There are several proposal for future world system are world federalism, functionalism, regionalism, ecologism, cyber-feudalism, and Caliphate system. Some of these system were implemented in national, regional and international scale. The future of world system seem would be the cycle of previous system with a revision, but would become new form system if there are new great or greater actor or new system with each supporters.

**Key Words:** Global Political Economy, Global Governance System, Interdependence, symmetry and asymmetry, the Future World System.

In the 21<sup>st</sup> century the world rapidly increasing in the system of interdependence. Interdependence means that every international actors need to supply and demand not only in economic field also in politics and other fields. The causes of its condition was because of natural need of humanity interesting each other. No matter of their motivation of ideology, security or benefit. The actors were interested to connect to each other then they fixed in formal relations because of the same of interest.

The increasing of populations and complex interest invited more benefit from their production. Production to feed their need or to gain more benefit to be wealthy state. Then international actors realized that they are need more production to gain more benefit. Then more

---

<sup>1</sup> Researcher at Pasundan University, Bandung, Indonesia.

production are meaningless without secure matters or resources and market. Their interdependence showed by their relations in form of bilateralism, trilateralism and multilateralism. These are simply relations between international actors. They implement regulations each other and take risks and benefits among them. But after very quick increased of technology both in transportation and communication, its made world more transparent and narrow. This era was became global because of transparency and quick news spreading.

The global world has rather quite clear post Second World War 1945. International actors focused on industrialization and manufacturing field. When the actor became industrialized countries then it was became economic leaders such as United States of America which is eventually as political leader. In the case of global world, the terms of global is runned in process of global era. Its means globalizations, because of never ending innovations world changes.

Globalization will lead the interdependence system to become more complicated interdependence form. The next form of interdependence is base on souches, cost, and market. Every actor would rather to secure its interests as other did or more. Then the world would be governed in cooperation or in anarchical system, this the point of questioned. The world became more complex interdependence and that why need to global governance. Global governance is a great, sensitive, large and more complecated system in the relations of decision making process where enclose the governmental and non governmental system.

The folowing appear several questions. First, is the interdependence concept explain global governance and its important for globalization? Second, will the world be a more globalized in the future? Third, what is alternatives or prospect will envisaged in the future world sytem?

Before discussing what is the envisage form of world system, for sure we define the concept of globalization and interdependence. Globalization as mentioned by Baylis and Smith “simply mean the process of increasing interconnectedness between societies such that events in one part of the world more and more have effects on peoples and societies far away”<sup>2</sup>.

Interconnectedness its can be in same meaning of interdependence or in other words that interdependence is the next form of interconnectedness. Goldstein and Pevehouse describe that “interdependence a political and not just an economic phenomenon. States that trade become mutually dependent on each other’s *political* cooperation in order to realize economic gains

<sup>7</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Baylis, John, and Smith, Steve, 2001, *The Globalization of World Politics*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, P. 7.

through trade. In IPE (International Political Economy), interdependency<sup>2</sup> refers less often to a *bilateral* mutual dependence than to a *multilateral* dependence in which each state depends on the political cooperation of most or all of the others to keep world markets operating efficiently. Most states depend on the world market, not on specific trading partners (though for large industrialized states each bilateral relationship is important as well)<sup>3</sup>.


According to that above definitions we can take conclusion that interdependence is the core idea of global world. Its can means when more interdependence then will more global. But before we take a conclusion let's discussing by answering the questions above.

### **Interdependence Concept and Global Governance**

As mentioned above that interdependence is the core or main idea of global world. More interdependence can lead to be more global world because of more close, more transparency, more open each other. When interdependence among states become more complex, then they will become more closer. This situation made states sovereignty more powerless, they will open their market and open other thing to facilitate their need. On the other hand the global era is a chance for appearance of the non state actors as new power in global world and also challenge for states sovereignty.

The system could be formed by common agreement or formed by the main actors. Interdependence not always in positive sum game or symmetry. Symmetry could be meaning of balanced situation between the international actors. Less dependent in interdependence could be means as a win condition, but vise versa in the case of deep interdependence than its partner its could be means asymmetry condition. If we see from the events of economic and political situation the great powers were always been gain more power than other. Its means that interdependence more likely gave more power to greater power than less power both in economy and politics. So interdependence systemits can lead to balance or positive sum game or other term to strengthen the great powers? From the beginning of the concept of interdependence to propose just relations, but its more showed new dependency of less power to great power or even to new great power.

**Figure 1: The Asymmetric of Complex Interdependence Form**



Obvious of states interdependence showed asymmetrical pattern. The great powers were gained more benefit in economics and eventually in politics, in the opposite side less power were in subordinate of great powers. States actors are still as determinant position than non states actors because of lack of authority in power, territory and resource. This situation will continue till next generation, except rise a new great power or other great power in bankruptcy. The rising of new great power could be happen because of their industrial and manufacture progress, surplus benefit, and eventually support from other to be the new world leader. Contemporary US economy was in deficient situation though politically reminded still as dominant, then China considered as the new potential great power.


The situation request of just governance. Previous global governance was led by great power, it should be changed to equal pattern of relations. The governance not only for regional or multilateral states but its need global governance for reduce asymmetrical pattern between states in interdependence system and maintain the just situation and create peace situation. So global governance has to be set up in equal position for all actors in one Intergovernmental Organization such as United Nations (UN). UN has to be reformed with no veto right and within equal membership and management.

This global governance or according to Kegley and Witkoff as <sup>9</sup> “intergovernmental organizations (IGOs) is institutions created and joined by states’ governments, which give them

authority to make collective decisions to manage particular problems on the global agenda.<sup>4</sup> In the fact also there are nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) which more increased of numbers than independent states. Kegley and Witkoff mentioned that “NGOs is transnational organizations of private citizens maintaining consultative status with the UN; they include professional associations, foundations, multinational corporations, or simply internationally active groups in different states joined together to work toward common interests.”<sup>5</sup> NGOs also considered as requested groups to adequate consultative, control and balance in various issues in the in global governance.

Global governance could be means as United Nations which has been existed or one or several great states separately which might be powerfull to maintain the world systems, where other give them authority to govern them in the global system. Not as likely the presence time, where United Nations was cooptated by several big countries with “Veto Right” to all issues. The global governance must be appear as states representative in equal right, but if its can’t be realizes then other one or several great countries couldbe reminded as leaders for global goveranance with cooperation and its common values or in obvious agreement.

**Figure 2: The New Intergovernmental Organization**



The figure show ideal constellation of Intergovernmental Organization as the main global governance where the world actors give the authority to the Organization to manage the common issue. The common issues such as economics, politics, transportations, communications, vital resourches, military using, and war. The members have the same obligation and right in the Organization and when its resulted policy then they in the same understanding to implement it. The organization would be the main governance to maintain wealthy and peace for all members.


<sup>4</sup>Kigley, W. Charles, Witkoff, R. Eugene, 2006, World Politics Trends and Transformation, U.S.A: Thomson Wadsworth, P. 170.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

The leader of this Organization is come from state who capable and supported by members to be the manager.

In Nye view's point that the twenty-first century was the diffusion of governance. Reminded that people expected to the computers would produce the centralized world, but the decentralizing effects have proven to be more powerfull. He was showed the diffusion of governance in the following figure.

**Figure 3: The Diffusion of Governance in the Twenty-first Century<sup>6</sup>**

	Private	Public	Third Sector
Supranatural	Transnational Corporations (e.g. IBM, Shell)	Intergovernmental Organizations (e.g. UN, WTO)	Nongovernmental Organizations (e.g. Oxfam, Greenpeace)
National			
Subnational	National Corporations (e.g. US Airways)	 <p>Twentieth Century Central Government</p>	National Nonprofits (e.g. American Red Cross)
	Local Businesses	State/Local Government	Local Groups

He stated that information revolution will push decentralization, but governments will lose dominant supremacy to foreign policy sovereignty and will share the power with nonstate actors. Transnational communications will provide the global chain to organize as the global government. The nonstates actors can manage to global campaigns in the environmental and human rights issues. Also citizens more close to informations with internet to control the authoritarian regimes. Economic will also supported by spreading investments and global markets. The producers can produce its products in other states which effect to the states as the

<sup>6</sup> Nye, S., Joseph, 2005, Understanding International Conflicts, New York: Pearson Longman, p. 250.

profitable income, and the market will open in these states and also in the producers states markets<sup>7</sup>.

The positiveness of the system is support to the economic development and eradicate the authoritarian regimes. Then the system will lead to democratic peace spreading. In opposite way the system will open the chance for a new feudalism in various forms including terrorism, and weak state will be the way as mass destruction to be real anarchy<sup>8</sup>.

The system can provide the penetration each other in the system including states and nonstates actors to influence in their interests. The states should be more aware with its properties because of various kind of informatics threats remain as new threatment. Even the highest protection of modern technology could be penetrated or damaged with informatics threats. The system would lead the world system to the base on the the website security system which could manage global order and also give a chance to threat. The world actors would be in dependent on the technology of informatics system which closely to error both in human and technical error.

### **Global World Form in the Future**

Contemporary global world was came from base of the modern changing of economic and political constellation. Both economical and political were have been fused element of global world. Jackson and Sorensen pointed the global expansion of the state sytem chronologically by following 1600s Europe (European system), 1700s + North America (Western system), 1800s + South America, Japan (Globalizing system), and 1900s + Asia, Africa, Carribbean, Pacific (Global system)<sup>9</sup>.

Jackson and Sorensen classified the global state system into 5 great powers: US, Russia, China, Britain, and France; Approximately 30 highly substantial states: Europe, North America, Japan; Approximately 75 moderately substantial states: Asia and Latin America; Approximately 90 less substantial quasi-states: Africa, Asia, Carribbean, Pacific; Numerous unrecognized territorial political systems submerged in existing states<sup>10</sup>.

---

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid. 4

<sup>9</sup> Jackson, Robert, and Sorensen, Georg, 2007, Introduction to Intemational Relations Theories and Approaches, New York: Oxford University Press, p. 18.

<sup>10</sup> Ibid.



Base on the explanation above with very obviously we could take image that the global world interdependence in assymetrical relations. Its likely struggling of the poor with the rich and powerfull with powerless states. We could witness the contemporary global world system likely will pass along to the next generation. The era showed interdependence among great states, and less power will as supply their goods and services by resourches and open markets. Then the more independent state is became the leader of the global system.

Apparently the next era after US with its capitalism was the winner subsequently US will still go as the leader even though its in deficient economy. Excepting other great state will rise as greater economic and politics. There are four candidate videlicet Russia, China, Britain, and France. In the fact Russia and France were in less economic condition, Britain also in less political power, but China more went to greater economic state with communist political system. China presumably will be the next leader, but its politically in low trust to maintain the global world. Furthermore China will get thebarrier as the communis state with other state psycologically whereinother generally in liberal democratic states system.

The modification will come if appear at least in two conditions. **Firstly**, the reformation of United Nations (UN). United nations must be reformed in membership, rights, and its institutional functions. All states should be given their right in equal position as member. Every member has to be in the same right to promote their voice, interest, right to vote and voted, and in the same right to sit in all UN institutions. No "Veto" right for the "Big Five". Institutional has should be given the relative authorities to maintain with sum of the rules and the right to enforce the common international law.

**Secondly**, China could gain the support from various states partly Asian countries to be the leader of next global world. Its could be happen because of several reason that US has to be blamed in many cases in torturing and suffering peoples in other states such as Afghanistan, Irak, Pakistan, Lybia, now Syria, and other in the name of democratization and war on terrorism. Also as unconsistent state in human right, environmental, and democracy enforcement. In the reality their slogan that they deliver "just" war was only in shadow. There are so many civilian victims in their war.

As the other candidate there is giant potential to the global world leader that Islamic Society. Its could be proven if moslems society from various states came to a unity. The power more greater than China. China great in territory and population but regular in resourches and

limited in the political power spreading. Islamic society had rich resources, huge populations, the obvious state and global system concept (namely Caliphate), and large power spreading in Middle East, Africa, Asia, Also they are live in Europe, Australia and America. So its could be considered to be the next system and its leader.

### **The Alternative Envisage for the Future World After Global Governance System**

There are so many views of the contemporary global world system, betwixt global village, borderless society, eventough stateless society, and hegemony system. Ray and Kaarbo viewed actual viewpoint that is appear perspectives of realism, liberalism, idealism, world economic system analysis, constructivism, and feminism<sup>11</sup>.

For realism consider that the states always seek to do more power for their selves and would oppose any kinds of effort to diminish their power. Then they would give their attention for other or international interests. They also relized the appearance of MNCs, NGOs, and transnational movements as the challenge of states power.

For liberalism the continuity of global world was the main ideal. The global system will maintain to intensify the complexity of interdependence between world actors. They recognize non states actors which take care and action for their common interests in liberal way, but their appareances wasn'tviewed as rival. The system will show up and spread the values of freedom, democratization, and human right "sovereignty" more than state interests.

Idealism has more attention to the human rights and values of freedom. They debated cultural imperialism, support local culture and indigenus peoples, and humanitarian intervention will take over the issues of economic, politic and cultural globalization. They more stress for humanity honorary than economic and political interests.

In economic system perspective relized that globalization is rooted in the liberal economy, and interdependence was the continuity of the previous system. Then also for the future the interdependence theme will become more complex and could be exist as scene of struggle of develoved and developing states. They see the future world view will become class of great and less power states.

If other perspective viewed the global system created by the actors both states and non states actors, constructivism pointed that the global system not established by the interests of

---

<sup>11</sup>Ray, Lee, James, and Kaarbo, Juliet, 2008, Global Politics, U.S.A: Stanford University Press, p. 516.

world actors but its depend on the actors interpretation and promotion on the values and norms. How is the process of constructing the system is more important for them than the actors and its interests. Apparently the constructivism more emphasize in the important of social understanding shaping rather than consider the interests of actors.

Then the very interesting view is alsofeminism perspective as the part of critic side. The feminism criticize the disappearance of feminine assumptions in the forming of global world. They argued that masculine perspectives are dominated the forming of world system. They criticize world unjust which is generate the sum of poor womans, low literacy, persecution, smuggling, and alienation from public sphere. They belief if feminist assumption fill the construction of world system, then the system will more ideal.

Base on the assumptions above is new global model would be the continuity of global system with more deep interdependent. China as the contemporary (from 1980s) second biggest economic state viewed would have chance to be the world leader at least in economy. The advantage of China not only because of people's expectation to new a world leader but also this state has good reputation as peace keeping country. If its truly proved then the world system would be change, from West to East era and its civilization. Then what kind of system will be runned to govern the world, that is the main contemporary discourse.

In the global political discourse, as viewed by Woods appear several proposal for the future of global world system alternatives such as world federalism, functionalism, regionalism, ecologism, and cyber-feudalism<sup>12</sup>.

The system could be the cycle of previous system, but also with its revision. States is still have more power than non states actors. The non states actors will not lead the system because of lack of proverty and authority to implement their idealism or decide such a thing. World federalism is the system where states hand over their weapons and receive the authority of central government. This system often assumed as several federalist states but its seem difficult to concreated because of the states egoism also central government was doubted to cover their justice and welfare.

Functionalism system that is rose 1940s as the alternative answer of federalism insufficient. Functionalism proposed large cooperation both in social and economy, then the

national boundaries and sovereignty become less pertinent. Federalists believe with cooperation will remove war. The world organization will take over the risk of fulfillment for peoples with non states organization as partners. But the states government were in unconvertable with the condition of less power and lack of sovereignty. The states also feel that deep interdependence will induce susceptibility with other states and eventually eventually they were in harmful situation.

After World War II European countries begun to cure the war and initiated the arrangement to integrate Western countries. Then in 1957 on the Treaty of Rome they instituted the European Common Market, later in 1992 became European Union (EU). EU succeed to create regional cooperation and to maintain just and peace atmosphere. States in EU also submitted their national laws to the EU. Later the EU appeared as global city in regional scale. But the EU actors deal it in great difficulty to gain their arrangement. For example Switzerland was one of states which didn't gave all of the arrangement partly in the Schengen visa. England also they didn't hand over their currency to EU.


Ecologism take cared to the balance of ecology. Technology and economic growth change resulted ecological problems. The scarcity is the main focus of ecologism. More than 170 pacts related with the protecting of ecology. The pacts was signed in various confrences such as environmental conference in Stockholm 1972 and global warming conference in Brazil 1992 and Japan 1997. The issues have supported also in transnational scale by non state actors. The developed countries put concerned to this topic, but for developing countries this topic is in their debates because of their purpose in economic growth have to accomplished.

Cyber-feudalism is the idea of information era. The theorists of cyber-feudalism stated that the spreading of information revolution would exist beyond ideas, territorials, times, and spaces, they will manage all of kind of linkages in same community and eventually have own government. The system will cut the territory and sovereignty of states. They will manage the relations and solve their problems by its self. However the appearance of information revolution in the reality still can't replace the existence of nation state system.

The discussion above is about the global existence and what should be criticized and completed with their own perspectives. So the operated system is where the great actors and its supporters were implemented. Even their system are poor, injustice and rude. As obvious example are competing between liberalism and communism, each system lead to their own

ideology community. Each community are has to be interdependence, then they would build the community power and defeat the other and so vise versa.

**Figure 4: The Future Configuration of World Great Power Actors**



The figure draw the future of global world will continue the competition among great powers, and the struggle between less power with great power. The system will lean to one (US) or two great powers (US and China) or three great powers (US, EU, and China). They will use UN as the measure to form the world with their ambitions. The world would be forming by their thought, and resourches and markets as their objectives. Then the rest actors will lean to the great powers among them as their trend passion. The global system running in the neo-information web with the scarcity of resources. Then the main issue in the future is the security of the resources, and the environment issu as a common agenda but unserious action because of greediness of the actors to be the great power. The proprietors of resources will be the important actors. They will bargain with the developed power to share the advancement. The rich resources actors will take much advantages from great power to be the new great powers, and the great powers will come to the rich resourches actors. So the information and the environment issues are as the ornament and supplement.

### **My Own Outlook**

The people still glorify their nationality in various way such as their national foot ball team or cultural identities. In my opinion the state system will continue to future system as the main actors the global world. The states system might be challenge by non state actors but the authority of land, sea and sky is handled by state actors. In the future system, the world actors

will consider to set up the world as in their ideologies as in the medieval centuries. The proofs are that the theorists of international relations and world actors still in searching to get their ideal argument for fix the world system. Then eventually they will direct to take the concepts of belief. So the belief will be one of the considered concept for future system.

That is obviously appeared base on evidences. First, the ruling international system was inadequate to provide just and wealthy for all the world peoples. The benefits are more for the great countries which are exploited the resources from less power. Second, bancruptcy of the communism in Soviet and democracy in Greece. China also confused in stabilization their system, then they mixed the system with liberal in economic and communism in politics. Third, rising of many movements base on the religion and local genuine to protect their interest and propose as alternative world system. Some of them consider as terrorist group but some of them are cooperative with other interests. Forth, the rising of sharia economic in many areas and also rising of the Islamic political system supporters. Fifth, US has been acted as the main defender of Jews interests specially in Palestine. This Jews nation implemented their belief, cooptated Palestinian land, enlarged their territory, and oppressed the other peoples.


So in the Islamic perspective as the universal system that the world devicted in belief outlook. The world system will divided into two kind of states videliset Islamic state (the Caliphate) and other state (non Islamic). The Islamic state is the Caliphate system where the last Caliphate was destruction in the early of 20 century (1924) as Ottoman Caliphate. The rest states are non Islamic states where divided into cooperative states and non cooperative states with the Caliphate. The cooperativeness and non cooperativeness between the Caliphate and Non Islamic states will show the world system.

The Caliphate is one rest system which appeared from the beginning of the earth existing. At least the new Caliphate system was appeared in 500 ac.-1924 (after the coming of prophet Muhammad SAW.). After the prophetic system, there are Caliphate Ar Rasyidin, Muawiyah, Abbasiah, Fatimiyah, Mughal, Safawi, Qazar, and Utsmaniyah (Ottoman). The world system was devided into primary actors videlicet Moslem actor, Christian actor, and Majusi actor. The Jews is exist but in few sum. Then the constellation change and more complicated where Jews was became the new world main actor partly after their occupation of Palestine land and declared as new state in 1947.

The last Caliphate was Ottoman Empire which destructed by Kemal Attaturk and his allies. At glance although this government far away from prophet Muhammad (SAW.) the system could maintained the community in very just condition. At least in their last 600 years governance there was only 6 violence cases and have been maintain in just civilization. The modern peoples debated the system as monarchical system, but truly the Caliphate system based on Islamic tenets. Islam taughted that the system must be run base on the Syura' (representative system) within very simple beaucrachy and low cost also. The representative discussing all of their affairs include leadership and its periode, wether the leader (the Caliph) will lead all his life or periodically change<sup>13</sup>.

In the Caliphate system peoples can decide to live in Islamic territory or non Islamic territory. Islamic territory implement the Sharia for Moslems and when the same territory other believer can implement their belief freely. The Caliphate regard the other states as non moslems system so the Caliphate will take to make relations with the friendships states, and face the rest more clearly in humanity consideration. How with the other states, the Caliphate will try to eliminate the enmity with many cooperations and agreements in tolerance.

**Figure 5: Caliphate System**



When the world is devided into two kind of states, as the consequencey is thatIslamic states will unite as the Caliphate and other or non Islamic states will unite in one community. The future world system will be in this scenery. The ego of the two kind of states will rise base

---

<sup>13</sup>Anton Minardi, 2012, Islamic Political Thingking, DAI Foundation, P. 101-110.

on their belief as the beginning of medieval centuries. They will show their system each other as the best final civilization. Caliphate would face the kinds of states in two ways videlicet with Cooperative Islamic states its will be in cooperation but nor with Non Cooperative Islamic states its will be in fighting position. Eventually the Caliphate will be the leader of the world and bring the world in justice and wealthiness, at that age its would be operated the system of Sharia principle still the time before the end of day.

In the last age of world time the messias will come together both prophet Isa (as.) or called as Jesus and Imam Mahdi (as.) to lead the Caliphate and create the Islamic system. First coming is Imam Mahdi (as.) to create the one Caliphate only and establish just and wealthy civilization. Then the presence of Isa (as.) as Mahdi's follower is to destroy the bathil or dajjal (badness) and implement prophet Muhammad (SAW.). So the messias concept is one of the final solutions for this world, because of inability of world actors to maintain the world in just and wealthy system for all not only for human being but also for environment.

The world can't be governed and maintained properly with human concepts or theories, this world was creation of God. Our mind also can only think or view such a little thing in the world, then the most of problems just only could be resolved by God's way. So there is only God's way is the best thing to make it in proper life. Maybe some of people viewed the Caliphate system was ancient one to implement for future world, but democracy system also more ancient than it. Why the Caliphate system remains the future system of the world, because of obvious and complete in legal, process and practical system. The system showed by the emerging of economic sharia as alternatives, later political system will rise with its defenders. Then muslims people will rise because of their consciousness with so many slanders afflicted to them such as the parties winners in democracy system was coup by other power, terrorism, and oppression issues such as by Jews in Palestine. Eventually they will realize the truth and turn to integrate into the Caliphate system as the logic and fate system.

This is contain tenet argument, but also base on the reality that the Islamic movements rose in every where to get best solution for the world problems. Whilst non muslims also rose to take part to fix the world conditions. The outlook of world was more clear when the slanders afflicted to muslims society as mentioned above. The truly happen from the very beginning age of civilization are the world actors want to implement their belief. Then their belief teach them to gain their need with their belief. In the beginning they cover their need with friendship and



togetherness in the true way. Then the actors did their need later became interest and forgot the true way. After that they satisfied their interest in the way of fighting each other in the name of states and international institutions. Some times they did it with military forces, this matter seem justified because they are states. However if the rest actors run their mission with military way then they will accused as terrorists. What was changed just in the different ways and styles. The world actor will secure their necessities with the contemporary terms but finally to secure their belief with wealthiness and abundance. Its doesn't denied there are also some of the world actors have reached their interests without basing on the belief, but the main actors actively base on their belief.

What happening in the future world is depending on the running system and the efforts of to day actors that is the fate. The global political economy will become less interesting issue while the belief system become obviously implemented because the belief system consider that all the things are just tools to service for The Only One God. He's The Most Known.

#### References:

- <sup>7</sup> Baylis, John, and Smith, Steve, 2001, *The Globalization of World Politics*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- <sup>11</sup> Goldstein, S., Joshua, and Pevehouse, C., Jon, 2007, *International Relations*, U.S: Quebecor World Taunton.
- <sup>4</sup> Jackson, Robert, and Sorensen, Georg, 2007, *Introduction to International Relations Theories and Approaches*, New York: Oxford University Press.
- <sup>10</sup> Kigley, W. Charles, Witkoff, R. Eugene, 2006, *World Politics Trends and Transformation*, U.S.A: Thomson Wadsworth.
- Minardi, Anton, 2012, *Pemikiran Politik Islam (Islamic Political Thingking)*, Bandung: DAI Foundation.
- Nye, S., Joseph, 2005, *Understanding International Conflicts*, New York: Pearson Longman.
- Ray, Lee, James, and Kaarbo, Juliet, 2008, *Global Politics*, U.S.A: Stanford University Press.
- <sup>3</sup> Woods, Ngaire, 2005, 'International Political Economy in an Age of Globalization', in John Baylis and Steven Smith (eds.), *The Globalization of World Politics*, New York: Oxford University Press.

# envisage the world post global governance system

---

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

7%

SIMILARITY INDEX

6%

INTERNET SOURCES

4%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

---

## PRIMARY SOURCES

---

1	<a href="http://home.aubg.bg">home.aubg.bg</a> Internet Source	1%
2	Joshua S. Goldstein. <i>International Relations</i> , 2006 Publication	1%
3	<a href="http://citation.allacademic.com">citation.allacademic.com</a> Internet Source	1%
4	<a href="http://ccdcoe.org">ccdcoe.org</a> Internet Source	1%
5	Submitted to Nottingham Trent University Student Paper	1%
6	Submitted to Baltic Defence College Student Paper	1%
7	<a href="http://booksreadr.org">booksreadr.org</a> Internet Source	1%
8	<a href="http://club.ntu.edu.tw">club.ntu.edu.tw</a> Internet Source	<1%
9	<a href="http://www.cengagebrain.com">www.cengagebrain.com</a>	

Internet Source

<1%

10

[repository.unpas.ac.id](https://repository.unpas.ac.id)

Internet Source

<1%

11

Submitted to University of Westminster

Student Paper

<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off